

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,120	08/25/2003	L. Taylor Arnold	ARNOLD-5	8894	
7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER			
Eric A. LaMor	rte		MILLER,	BENA B	
LaMorte & Ass	ociates, P.C.				
P.O. Box 434			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Yardley, PA 19067-8434			3725		
			DAME 1444 PD 06/03/000	DATE MAIL PD: 06/02/2005	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

		0)	
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,120	ARNOLD, L. TAYLOR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bena Miller	3725		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bena Miller	3725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brio	f will not be entered	haaayaa				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PŤOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 5.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu							
In response to applicant's remarks that the subject matter in condition for allowance, the Examiner disagrees. It is applicant mentions that the subject matter of claim 5 as	s noted that claim 5 has not been a	added in claim 1 in its	entirety. Also,				
record; however, the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.  2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  3. Other:							
13. Other:	(F10/36/00 OF P10-1449) Paper	140(s) h. Y	Nis-				
			· •				

Bena Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3725